A BALUCI TEXT, WITH TRANSLATION AND NOTES 1

By Josef Elfenbein

(PLATE I)

Introduction

1. The following story occurs on folios 26r. to 33r. of a MS in the British Museum, catalogued 'Codex Additional 24048' in the handwritten List of Oriental MSS, Vol. III (1854–66). There is another copy in the Staatsbibliothek, Munich, which was noticed long ago by Wilhelm Geiger, who edited and translated from it parts of the story given here in full.

Geiger's version of (1)-(2) of the story was published in Gr. Ir. Ph., I, Abt. 2, 247; (8)-(11) and (14)-(16) appeared in ZDMG, XLIII, 1889, 579 ff., entitled respectively 'Erste Abenteuer' and 'Zweite Abenteuer'. Geiger's text is discussed in § 3 below.

The British Museum MS which contains our story consists of 58 folios of stories and poems, composed in a Kēčī variety of the Makrānī dialect of Balūčī, and written in a careful, formal nasta'līq inclining to naskh, on indifferent paper which allows the ink to filter through. The MS, which has no colophon, was purchased for the British Museum in 1861 from the widow of H. H. Wilson, Boden Professor of Sanskrit at Oxford. Its previous history remains obscure.

I have printed a normalized text, but every important case where I have departed from the MS has been noted in the Apparatus. Differences not mentioned in the Apparatus will be found in § 2 (e) if they rest on scribal errors, or in § 4 (c) if they arise from unexpected spellings which may or may not represent genuine forms. The translation has been kept as literal as possible.

2. Orthography. (Incorrect spellings are listed in alphabetic order under the correct spelling in § 2 (e).)

The orthography of the British Museum MS differs in many respects from that described in the LSI (x, 339-40, 364-5), Mockler, A grammar of the Baloochee language, and Dames, Textbook of the Baluchi language. In the present description the transliteration of the following signs is to be noted: Vowels:

$$fat\underline{h}a^a$$
; $kasra^i$; $\underline{d}amma^u$; 'alif a

- (1) internal or final $fat\underline{h}a$ with 'alif' (2) initial 'alif with maddah \bar{a}
- (2) initial 'alif with maddah

Consonants:

¹ I am indebted to Dr. I. Gershevitch for reading a draft of this article and making many valuable suggestions.

2 (a). Short vowels.

a: normally not indicated, but sometimes written a. The 2nd s. Imv. of rawag 'go ' is written br^uw everywhere except for b^ar^uw in (25). For this reason I normalize $bar\bar{o}$ against Geiger (see § 3 (b)). The a- prefix to the prest-fut. tense of verbs seems occasionally to be written with 'alif joined to a preceding word (see § 4 (b) xiii).

i: written i; in some cases not indicated (e.g. of the three occurrences of $\check{s}ik\bar{a}r(\bar{a})$ in (3), only the third has i expressed; $wa\check{s}$ -dil (3) is written $w\check{s}dl$). i is written y in rsytgn (12), and rsytnt (20) (see App.), and iy in $p\check{r}yn^iyt$ (9). $K\check{s}yt$ is often ((11, 22, 23): see App.) written for $k\check{s}^it$ (cf. § 4 (b) i), and $\check{c}aryt$ ((23, 28): see App.) for $\check{c}\bar{a}r^it$ (but in (20) we find $k\check{s}^it$ and $\check{c}arty$). In Mockler and the LSI i is normally not indicated. The conjunction ki is usually written separately; it is spelled kh, except in (30) where k^ih is written at the first occurrence. The suffixed 3rd s. subst. verb -in is normally written -in; -yn is found in dyr-yn (12), k^uwnd -yn (15), srg-yn (28), pydag-yn (5, 8, 18, 28); iyn in hst^iyn (7, 8) and ast^iyn (13); -in after a vowel in k^uw -in (5, 6, 19), but also in $\check{c}ar$ -dh- $s\bar{a}l$ -in (15); i is not expressed in rsytg-n (12). See further § 4 (b) xi on the spelling of -in.

u: written u except in sbhtgw (19).

2 (b). Long vowels.

 \bar{a} : written initially a with maddah; internally and in final position a or a (cf. $\bar{a}tkg$ (9), $bhr\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ (passim)). On jhh (10) see p. 94, n. 5, and § 2 (d). Maddah is omitted in $atkq\bar{a}n$ (8) and atk (16).

 $\bar{\imath}$: written y (cf. n-byt (passim), phkyr $\bar{\imath}$ (1)).

 \bar{u} , \bar{o} : these two vowels are often hard to distinguish, both in this MS and e.g. in Mockler and the LSI. They are both written uw indiscriminately in this MS, and sometimes u is omitted. Usually \bar{o} is meant; the only cases where it is likely that \bar{u} is intended are \underline{s}^uwrt - ((5, 6): spelled $\underline{s}wrty$ at the first occurrence in (5)), $r^uwp^iy\bar{a}$ (18 sqq.), $\bar{d}^uwr\bar{a}h$ - (30, 31), and possibly $k^uwn\bar{d}$ (15). \bar{o} 'and ' is written -uw when attached to a preceding word, otherwise w (\underline{s}^ut w) $\underline{f}ty$ (1)) or even a^uw (\bar{o} \bar{e} $sai\bar{e}n$ $bal\bar{a}h$ (14)). $T\bar{o}w\bar{a}r$ - $i\check{s}$ (9) is written $tw\bar{a}r^i\check{s}$; and \bar{O} ! (15, both times) is written aw.

 \bar{e} : written y, and not usually distinguished from $\bar{\imath}$. \bar{e} 'this, he' is normally written 'yy (but three times without hamza (9, 13, 14) and once y (7)). Note also the writing yh ((12, 21, 27, 31): see App.). That yh stands for \bar{e} can be seen most clearly in (27): \bar{e} $b\bar{a}ds\bar{a}h$ kait... \bar{e} $b\bar{a}ds\bar{a}h$ $z\bar{o}r\bar{a}war-in$, where the first \bar{e} is written yh, but the second 'yy. (See below s.v. $-\bar{a}h$.) The 2nd s. suffixed subst. verb $-\bar{e}$ is once written -'y in n-'y (8) after a vowel; $ma-gr\bar{e}$ (15) is written m-gr'y; but otherwise final $-\bar{e}$ is written -y. The $-\bar{e}n$ of $kastar\bar{e}n$ (9) is spelled -ayn (but see App.); $ham\bar{a}\bar{e}y\bar{a}$ (22) (cf. § 4 (b) xv) is written $hm\bar{a}$ ' $yy\bar{a}$.

2 (c). Diphthongs.

ai: written y (cf. $syl\bar{a}$ (3), kyt (14, 24, 25, 27)). The word for 'three' is written invariably s'y; this spelling might suggest a pronunciation $s\bar{e}$ if it

were not that the word is quoted as sai from all other sources. $Sai\bar{e}n$ (14) is written s'yn both times. The prest-fut. 1st plurals rain (9) and dain (11) are written $r^a'yn$ and $d^a'yn$ (see also § 4 (b) xi). $a\bar{\imath}$ is also written y, e.g. my (8, 11), s^umy (11).

au: written uw and thus not distinguished from \bar{o} ; it is certain only for the three words d^uwr (1), \check{s}^uwr (27), and t^uw 'thou' (passim). It is unlikely that the last word is to be read $t\bar{o}$ as in NP, since such a form is not attested in W Bal. (though it does occur in E dialects). au is written w in the LSI, and aw in Mockler.

2 (d). Consonants.

 \check{c} , \check{j} : \check{j} is written once for \check{c} in $a\check{j}$ (12) which may be merely an error, or else represent an actual voicing before the following $wat\bar{\imath}$; the two words are written as one.

h: initial or internal h is usually written h; when it corresponds to NP-Ar. x, \underline{h} , or 'it is written \underline{h} (cf. $\underline{h}d\bar{a}$ (but $xd\bar{a}y$ below § 4 (b) xxv), $\underline{h}km$ (passim); $w\underline{h}dy$ (3, 12, 17); $s\bar{a}\underline{h}ty$ (9); $\underline{h}l\bar{a}\underline{s}$ (11, 25); $z\underline{h}m$ -, $n^ik\bar{a}\underline{h}$ (16); $\underline{h}\bar{a}z^ir$ (26); $\underline{s}^u\underline{w}\underline{h}by$ (27); $\underline{s}\underline{a}\underline{h}^ib$, $\underline{h}yr$ (28)). It is written x in xnyn ((15, 30); on occurrence in (31) see App.); note also hr/h for the expected $\underline{h}r/h$ (18), and $\underline{s}\underline{b}htgw$ for $\underline{s}^u\underline{b}htgw$ (19).

Final -h is more complicated: it indicates a final short vowel in kh (ki) (passim), in nh (na) (27), and in ph (pa) (20); it is a meaningless tail in hrjh (18), m^ulkh (19), $mykh^u$ ((25): see App.), mthlh (27); $-\bar{a}h$ seems to be written -hh ('two-eyed h' plus final h) in jhh ((10): see p. 94, n. 5), and $h\bar{a}ghh$ (16, both times); the word $j\bar{a}g\bar{a}h$ (see § 4 (b) xvii) is spelled $j\bar{a}ghh$ in (25), and $j\bar{a}g\bar{a}h\bar{a}$ is spelled $j\bar{a}ghh$ - $h\bar{a}$ in (23 first occurrence), but $j\bar{a}g\bar{a}h\bar{a}$ in (23 second occurrence). What looks like -hh represents -h in $b\bar{a}ds\bar{a}hh$ (27 first occurrence, 30) and $bl\bar{a}hh$ (15 first occurrence). -h is twice left off in $bal\bar{a}$ (9 first occurrence, 25).

n: final -n is left off pysry (27).

tašdīd is wrongly written over k (instead of over n) in jn^ikyg (17), against jn^ik in (16), and should not appear at all in $d^ir^a\check{c}k\bar{a}$ (8). Double r is used instead of tašdīd in k^urrgy (2); it also occurs in $prryn^iyt$ (29), against $p\check{r}yn^iyt$ in (9).

t, d: written ټ, غ in Pašto style, not ٿ, ٿ in the Sindhi style usual for Bal. na 'not', ma 'not', pa 'in, on' are written in one with the following word except in ph bhrāmā (20) and nh byt (27).

2 (e). Incorrect or unexpected spellings.

Instead of expected	MS has
$blar{a}h$	$b^a l^a h$ or $b l^a h$ (7, 15, 16, 31) or $b l \bar{a}$ (9, 25)
$b^u n ar{a}$	$b^u w n \bar{a}$ ((8, 9): but see § 4 (c))
$b^u r^i n$	$b^u r' y n$ (31)
$d^a r$	$d^{i}r$ (16)
$\underline{h}^{u}km$	$h^u gm$ (15)
$h^a ps$	h^abs (2, 7 passim)
j ^u st	$j^u s t^i$ (5)
mydy	myd'h (12)

```
myk
                                    mykh^u ((25): see App.)
                                   myk^an (28)
myk^in
m^{u}lky
                                   m^{u}lkyn (4)
                                   mnh (30)
mny
m^u \check{s} k^i l
                                   m^u \check{s} k^a l (30)
                                   pyry z\bar{a}l'y ((18): see App.)
pyrh zāly
p^{u}tr^{i}t
                                   p^u w t r^i t (14)
s^ub\underline{h}tgw
                                   sbhtgw (19)
s^a k
                                   s^{i}k (30)
\check{s}p\bar{a}
                                   \dot{s}y\bar{a} ((15): also in G's MS)
wtyq
                                   w'tyq(1)
yk
                                   yg(5)
```

- 3. The following is a list of the differences between Geiger's readings and mine. It is divided into two parts:
 - (a) Geiger's readings disagree with what stands in the BM MS;
 - (b) Geiger's readings are not inconsistent with what stands in the BM MS.
 - (a) 1. watīg, G tayīg; manārā, G manā.
 - 2. kurragē, G kūragē.
 - 8. pēdāg-in, G pēdāyēn; diračk, G darčak; birātānī, G birātān.
 - 9. sāhatē, G sahtē; bōē, G bōi; na-in, G na-īn; birātān mastarēnā, G birātā mastarēn; bigir ō biyār, G bigir di biyār (di 'and' is not known in W Bal.; it appears to be confined to the E dialects); rain, G rēīn; balāh, G sometimes balā here and in following sections; min, G man everywhere (see § 4 (b) x).
 - 11. manī, G mani; giptag, G gipt; hālās-ē bikan, G halāsē kan; dain, G dēīn; Gulandāmī, G Gulandāmā; čī, G či everywhere.
 - 14. šumā, G šuma twice; balāh-in, G balāhē.
 - 15. čār-dah-sāl-in, G čār-dah-sālēn.
 - 16. hāgāh, G hāgā; sarā, G sar; makisk, G makask; ganōk, G gannōk; man (last occurrence), G mana.
 - (b) 1. daur, $G d\bar{u}r$.
 - 8. rawān, G rōān everywhere; bōr, G būr; barō, G birō (cf. § 4 (a)); maī, G mai everywhere; bunā, G būnā (see § 4 (c)); šumaī, G šumai everywhere; mānit, G mān-at; hastin, G hastīn (see § 4 (c)).
 - 9. ādamī, G ādamē (cf. also Zarubin, Beludzhskiye skazki, 1, 109, ll. 3, 5); barō, G burō; kālakī, G kālakē, here and following.
 - 10. barō, G burō; dastē, G dastā (see § 4 (d)).
 - 11. kašit, G kašīt (see App.).
 - 14. dītē, G dītī; putrit, G putirit (cf. Marw past part. putrit (Zarubin, op. cit., 1, 211, l. 11)).
 - 15. wārt, G wārit everywhere; man-ē, G manī everywhere; balē, G balī; xūb-sūratēn, G xūb-sōratēn.
 - 16. yakē-at, G yakīt.

Differences not concerned merely with spellings are mentioned in the footnotes to the text.

- 4. Notes on language and dialect.
- 4 (a). The dialect of this MS is essentially a Kēčī variety of Makrānī Bal. (for a description of the dialects of Bal., see Enc. Islam, new ed., I, 1007): note for phonology $\bar{\imath} < \bar{u}$ in $han\bar{\imath}n$ 'now', and $b\bar{\imath}t$ 'was'; for stem formation pit 'father', and birāt 'brother', from the OIr. casus rectus (cf. G. Morgenstierne, AO, xx, 1948, 257), ās 'fire', from an oblique case; for vocabulary $l\bar{o}g$ 'house'; for morphology 1st s. pres.-fut. in $-\bar{a}n$, gen. s. $-\bar{i}$ or no ending (e.g. $Bahr\bar{a}m\ haps-i\dot{s}$ (18), $mulk\ b\bar{a}d\dot{s}\bar{a}h$ (19), etc.); voc. s. no ending (e.g. $\bar{o}\ \dot{f}uw\bar{a}n$ (9, 11, 15), manī bānuk (21)). These peculiarities are characteristic of all Kēčī The passive construction of past transitive verbs, normal in most Makrānī dialects (but not e.g. in Panjgūrī, where the NP construction is commoner), is seen in Bahrāmā bōtkant (11), tīngā . . . zurtant (20), Bahrāmā . . . kutant (25). Mard ki . . . dātagant (29) shows a construction which is paralleled by MP and Parth. usage (cf. Mir. Man., III, 875, l. 2, where no -š'n is attached to ky), but is unusual in Bal. Elsewhere $kay\bar{a}$ is found (15, 28); note in this connexion $kay\bar{a}$. . . kardam (25, 31) where kardam is an NP form used in accordance with Bal. syntax. Cf. also in the same two sections Bahrām-šāh*jihān* . . . kardam, where the logical subject ought to be in the $-\bar{a}$ case.

Note the 'mixed' construction haps-iš mān-kašitant (18), for either *hapsā mān-kašitant or *haps-iš mān-kašit.

The demonstratives \bar{e} and \bar{a} are nearly everywhere very much weakened in force, being little more than articles; for 'this' and 'that' $ham\bar{e}$ and $ham\bar{a}$ are used.

- 4 (b). The particular variety of Kēčī represented by the dialect of this MS is unfortunately not known from any other source. In the following description of its peculiarities, the forms quoted from the *LSI* belong to what Grierson calls 'Makrānī of Karachi', which is a Kēčī dialect (Grierson's 'Makrānī of Makrān 'being a Panjgūrī dialect).
- (i) The past parts. of kašag, mānag, and pirēnag are kašit ((18, 20); written kšyt (11, 22, 23): see App.), mānit (8), and pirēnit ((9, 29): see App.), against kašt, mānt, pirēnt elsewhere. Cf. rasit ((18): see § 2 (a)) and čārit ((5, 20): often written čāryt (23, 28)), both of which are normal forms.
- (ii) Note the use of the suffix -ig in $Guland\bar{a}m\bar{i}g$ (6), and $bal\bar{a}h\bar{i}g$ (8), where it approaches the function it has in $ma\bar{i}g$ 'ours' (12) and the other possess. pronouns.
- (iii) The 3rd s. pres.-fut. of $\bar{a}yag$ 'to come ' is kait (spelled kyt). This agrees with the form akait given in Pierce (JBBRAS, xI, 1875, 15) against Marw $a-k\bar{a}it$ (Zarubin, op. cit., I, 133, l. 6) and Dames $kh\bar{a}ith$; however, where Pierce gives a 1st s. akayan, the present dialect has $k\bar{a}y\bar{a}n$ (18).
- (iv) The subjunctive is formed by prefixing b(i)-, which is not usual in Bal. except in dialects where NP influence is strong, such as Marw Bal.;

examples are $bikap\bar{t}$ (1); $br\bar{o}t$ (3); $bijan\bar{a}n$ (16); $buw\bar{a}rt$ (18); burin (31). In this connexion note also the future $bikan\bar{a}n$ (27).

- (v) Unetymological h- is prefixed to hāsk (3) and to haps (everywhere).
- (vi) $phak\bar{\imath}r$ (1, 5, 6) is a 'learned' form with ph representing f; the expected W Bal. form is $pak\bar{\imath}r$, cf. (11) $pary\bar{a}t$.
- (vii) war (18) without final -d is unusual in W Bal. dialects; however, in some E dialects (cf. LSI, x, 394) the dropping of final -d after r is common.
- (viii) $\bar{e}rin$ (5) is to be noted. It seems to consist of $\bar{e}r$ 'under' and the adverbial suffix -in, seen in the $-\bar{a}$ case in Marw $idin\bar{a}$ (Zarubin, op. cit., I, 4, l. 6) 'here', and $idin\bar{a}$ (Zarubin, op. cit., I, 3, l. 8) 'there'. $\bar{e}r$ 'under' is normally used only as a preverb (cf. $\bar{e}r$ -kapt in (18)); the preposition $\bar{e}rin$ fulfils here the function of the common postpositions $idetarrow e^{ir}$ or $idetarrow e^{ir}$.
- (ix) Note the use of the suffixed pron. $-\bar{e}$ as subject of intrans. verbs: $\check{s}ut-\bar{e}$ (passim), $\check{c}\bar{a}rit-\bar{e}$ (passim).
- (x) min appears everywhere for the usual man 'in'. Because of the consistency of the spelling, it is likely that this is a genuine form.
- (xi) rain (9), dain (11), 1st plurals (written ra'yn, da'yn) are to be noted. The ending -in (not $-\bar{\imath}n$) is quite usual in Kēčī dialects (except that in LSI, x, 365, the forms $r\bar{\imath}n$, $kan\bar{\imath}n$, $bikan\bar{\imath}n$, $bib\bar{\imath}n$ appear, and in the paradigm on p. 355 the ending $-\bar{e}$ is given), but Mockler (op. cit., 68), followed by LSI, x, 448 ('Makrānī of Karachi: $r\bar{o}w\bar{\imath}$ ') gives $r\bar{o}win$, which is his anglicizing way of writing rawin, as the form to be expected for the first verb. For the other verb, the form everywhere cited is dayin, with -y-glide. The word rasitagin (12) is written rsytgyn, with the dots of the second y crossed out.
 - (xii) On the reading sai or $s\bar{e}$ of the word for 'three' see § 2 (c).
- (xiii) The a- prefix to pres. tense verbs is usually omitted (e.g. $man \ldots d\bar{v}r \, kan\bar{a}n \, (14)$; $\bar{e} \ldots ki \, w\bar{a}rt \, (15)$; $man \ldots dap\bar{v} \, raw\bar{a}n \, (14)$, etc.). Occasionally, however, it seems to appear as 'alif attached to a preceding word (which in three instances of the present four is the pronoun man) as follows: (7) $man-a \, raw\bar{a}n$; (11) $man-a \, na \, gir\bar{a}n \, (but \, man \, na \, gir\bar{a}n \, (16))$; (18) $\bar{e} \ldots war-a \, kant$; (24) $man-a \, gir\bar{a}n$; on $gindag\bar{a} \, (a-?) \, raw\bar{a}n \, (13)$ see p. 94, n. 14. In the Kēčī dialects of the LSI and Mockler, this a- prefix may never be omitted; but the usage of our MS closely parallels that of the dialects of Panjgūr and Marw, where the a- often does not appear. (On the Marw usage with na, cf. also Sokolov, $Trudy \, Inst. \, Yaz$, vi, 1956, 89.) On the other hand, where the k- prefix to present-tense verbs is to be expected in Kēčī, it is also present in this story (again paralleling the dialects of Marw and Panjgūr): $kait \, (14, \, 24, \, 25, \, 27)$; $k\bar{a}r\bar{t}t \, (15)$; $k\bar{a}y\bar{a}n \, (30)$.
- (xiv) In the construction $bal\bar{a}h\bar{a}$ gindag \bar{a} (13), gindag is treated as a finite form rather than as a verbal noun.
 - (xv) $ham\bar{a}\bar{e}y\bar{a}$ appears in (22) for expected $ham\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. (See § 2 (b).)
- (xvi) In the phrase $man ... g\bar{a}r \ dap\bar{\imath} \ raw\bar{a}n$ (14), the gen. s. $dap\bar{\imath}$ is unexpected; the usual construction is $dap\bar{a} \ rawag$.
 - (xvii) On jagah 'place' (see § 2 (d)), cf. Mayer, English-Baluchi dictionary,

s.v. 'any(where)', where $\check{j}\bar{a}gahe$ is cited; Marston, Lessons in the Makrānī-Baloochee dialect, p. 8, has $\check{j}\bar{a}g\bar{a}h$, and LSI, x, 371 (verse 13), $\check{j}\bar{a}ga$; cf. NP $\check{j}\bar{a}y$ - $g\bar{a}h$.

(xviii) The use of $g\bar{o}n$ 'with' as an adverb placed after the verb is to be noted: $ki\ kait\ g\bar{o}n\ (28)$; $amr\bar{a}h\ b\bar{\imath}tant\ g\bar{o}n\ (14)$; $na-b\bar{\imath}t\ g\bar{o}n\ (27)$ (but note $na-b\bar{\imath}t\ g\bar{o}n\ \bar{e}\bar{s}\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}\ (30)$); similarly dan 'in': $ki\ \bar{e}\bar{a}rit-\bar{e}\ dan\ (5)$.

- (xix) $D\bar{u}r\bar{a}h\bar{a}$ (30) and $d\bar{u}r\bar{a}h\bar{e}n$ (31) recall Dames ¹ druh, $dur\bar{a}h$, Pierce, op. cit., $droh\bar{a}$ ' whole '.
- (xx) Note the metatheses in *subhatgō* (19), *rusxat* (26), and $\underline{s}\underline{o}\underline{h}b\overline{\iota}$ (27), though the last is common Bal.
 - (xxi) Note hamō (19, 23) 'every, each 'beside hamuk (22, 23).
- (xxii) The word $n\bar{e}z\bar{o}$ 'spear' (cf. p. 96, n. 6) is once spelt nyzhwy ($n\bar{e}zaw\bar{i}$ (28)) with h, recalling NP $n\bar{e}za$, as in (25, end); elsewhere (25, 32) nyzw.

(xxiii) $har \ \tilde{c}\bar{\imath}$ is the equivalent of NP $har \ kas$ in (3, 11 (second occurrence), 23); this usage is paralleled in Baškardi, where $har \ \tilde{c}\bar{\imath}$ is used in the sense of 'everyone'. Note, however, $har \ \tilde{c}\bar{\imath}$ 'everything' (1, 11 (first occurrence)). $har \ kas$ 'everyone' appears in (23).

(xxiv) har dun (2, twice) appears for the normal har $d\bar{o}$; cf. also hardon in a Bašk. dialect, and Šuy. $\delta t\bar{o}^w n$ '2' (Morgenstierne, NTS, I, 1928, 53).

(xxv) Persianisms are: bidih (1); nuh (2); in-šapīg (15); xwāb (passim); dumbā-rō (21) (cf. NP dumbāl); xudāy (32) (cf. hdā in § 2 (d)). See also p. 97, n. 15. 4 (c). The following peculiarities of our MS have not been adopted in the printed text. They are listed here in case some of them should represent genuine dialect features; there is insufficient evidence for a decision at present.

 m^u strynā (9) for m^a strynā (but mstryn- in 10, 11). p^u rwā (15) for p^a rwā (but prwā in 21, 25). b^u wnā (8, 9) for b^u nā. g^u wr(ā) (20, 26, 32) for gwr(ā).

 $pajiy\bar{a}$ is written pjy ((28): see App.); the final $-\bar{a}$ has perhaps been absorbed in the initial of the following word, or an expression $paj\bar{i}$ $\bar{a}rag$ 'to recognize' may exist beside $phajy\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}ragh$ given in Dames.

4 (d). The following endings appear to be wrong. They have been corrected in the printed text, but are all noted in the Apparatus.

 $tar\bar{a}r\bar{a}$ (11) for $tar\bar{a}$.

-in for -ant in kaptin (2), ōštātagin (19).

sar for sarā in sar gut-kut (16).

-y added wrongly to $Bahr\bar{a}m$ in $bhr\bar{a}my$ (25).

 $-\bar{a}$ for $-\bar{e}$ in $dast\bar{a}$ (10).

TEXT

Qissa-i Bahram-šāh-i-jihān ō Gulandām.²

- Rōzgārē hastat bādšāhē, bādšāhārā čuk na-bīt. Šut, just kut yak phakīrēārā
 - ¹ Sketch of the Northern Balochi language, Calcutta, 1881.
 - ² As the titles in this MS are all in Persian, I have introduced the idafat.

- ki, 'manārā čuk na-bīt'. Phakīrā gušt ki, 'tau bizīr ē laṭā, bijan par ambā. Har čī dānagē bikapīt, tau bidih watīg zālārā'. Šut ō jat-ē, kapt yak dānagē, čit-ē, burt-ē, dāt-ē zālārā. Zālā wārt, gaḍagē ¹ daur dāt, čit hapsā, wārt. [Hapsā nariyān ki par*.] ²
- 2. Hamā šapā bādšāh gōn zālā juptō bīt, bīt-ē zālārā ōmēt; hapsārā nariyān ki par* ³ haps ham āpus bīt. Hardun ⁴ zāl ō haps nuh māh ō nuh rōč tamām bīt. Zāl ō haps hardun ⁴ par yak šapā kaptant*.⁵ Hapsārā bīt yak kurragē narēn. Bādšāh zālārā bīt yak čukē mardēn. Nām kut Bahrām-šāh-jihān.
- 3. Wahdē ki Bahrām mazan bīt, šut sailā ō šikārā, jat-iš yak kargōškēārā. Šapīg ātkant, Bahrām pit waš-dil bīt, ki Bahrāmā šikār kutag. Ē dōmīg rōčā šutant pa-sailā šikārā. Dīt-iš yak hāskē, gaṭ-iš kut,6 Bahrāmā gušt ki, 'ē hāsk har čī nēmagā ki brōt, āē jan min ṭalāk-in,7 ō digar mardum par āē randā ma rōt'.
- 4. Ḥukm hudā bīt, ki hāsk āē* Bahrām nēmagā šut. Bahrām kapt par hāsk randā, ō šut, burt-ē dan digar mulkē*, digar šahrē.
- 5. Ki čārit-ē dan yak majatē pēdāg-in, Bahrām šut ē majatā ō ništ, dīt-ē yak sūratē ki niwištag pa-majatā lāpā. Ēr-in ⁸ ē sūratē nām Gulandām-in. Bahrāmā dīt ē sūrat, Bahrām bādšāh ganōk bīt. Just kut [ki ⁹] ē majatī phakīrārā ki, 'ē Gulandām kō-in?'
- 6. Phakīrā gušt, 'man-ē na-zānān ki kō-in, balē man hamē sūratā gindān, Gulandāmīg-in; 10 man pa-[h]amē sūratā ganōk-ān, ō hamē majatā ništagān, yak kašā čārān'.
- 7. Bahrām suwār bīt par hapsā. Majat phakīrā gušt, 'tau par ē rāhā ma-rō, ki ē rāhā hastin* kalātē ō sai balāh. Tau rawē, tarā warant'. Bahrāmā gušt, 'man a-rawān! Har čī hudāyā āwurtag par manī sarā digar tarz 11 na-bīt'.
- 8. Bahrām šut, dīt-ē kalātē pēdāg-in, šut, kalātā bunā yak diračkē hastat mazanē. Bahrām šut diračkā bunā, ē kalātārā mānit sai gōhār balāhīg. Gōhārān warag ō āp rawān kut: 'tau bōr waragā ō āpā, barō, ki mārā hastin sai birāt, maī birāt kāyant tarārā warant; tau lāyikē waragā na-ē'. Bahrāmā gušt: 'man par šumaī birātānī gindagā ātkagān'.
 - 9. Dēr na-bīt, yak sāhatē balāh har 12 sai birāt ātkant, tōwār-iš kut ki, 'bōē,
- ¹ G gatagē; cf. Marw gadag 'date stone, pit '(Zarubin, op. cit., 1, 62, l. 11); cf. also Brah. gadda; all these words are from Lhd. ggatṭak.
- 2 G omits, rightly, the words I have put in square brackets. They seem to be an anticipation of hapsārā nariyān ki par in the following sentence.
- 3 G has kapt (?) in the place of $ki\ par$ (see App.) ; a verb, and a noun governed by par, are lacking.
 - 4 G har do, see introd., § 4 (b) xxiv.
 - ⁵ kaptant: lit. 'fell'; G kaptan.
 - ⁶ cf. Brah. gat kanning 'to press hard'.
- 7 i.e. 'That one is committed not to sleep with his wife (until he has pursued and killed the deer)'; cf. the similar use of NP zan- $tal\bar{a}q$.
 - ⁸ See introd., § 4 (b) viii. MS has ayrⁱn.
 - 9 Delete ki.
 - 10 In the MS Gulandāmīg-in stands in the next clause: man pa-hamē Gulandāmīg-in $\underline{s}\bar{u}rat\bar{a}\ldots$
 - 11 cf. Ar. tarz 'form, manner', Hübschmann, Arm. Gr., 252, and see (32) end.
 - 12 Word omitted by G, who has balāhī sai birāt.

bōē ādamī!' Gōhārān gušt, 'hau manī birāt, ādamē digar nēst, yak warnāē ātkag, ā diračk bunā ništag, ē juwān lāyikē waragā na-in'. Birātān mastarēnā* gušt kastarēn birātārā, 'tau barō, ē juwānā bigir ō biyār!' Kastarēn birāt ātkag, gušt-ē, 'Ō juwān, pādā, ki rain!' Bahrāmā gušt, 'tau biyā!' Kastarēn*¹ balāh ātk, ō Bahrāmā yak dastē drāj kut, gipt-ē min mīdān sarīgān, jat-ē par ḍagārā, kālakī mīd gōtak-ē ² ē balāh-ē bast ō pirēnit*.³

- 10. [Dīt mastarēn birātā ki, 'manī birāt bast';] 4 gušt-ē ā niyāmīg birātārā, 'tau barō, ē* juwānā jāh 5 zīr, baḍī 6 kan-ō biyār!' Ē niyāmīg birāt ātk, Bahrāmā yak dastē* drāj kut, gipt-ē min mīdān, jat-ē par ḍagārā, kālakī mīd gōtak-ē 7 ē balāh-ē bast.
- 11. Mastarēnā birātā dīt ki, 'manī birāt bast': zahr giptag, ātk, Bahrām pād ātk, gulāhiš bītant, balāh-ē čikut, š jat-ē par dagārā, bast-ē, zahm-ē kašit* ki, 'kušān-ē'. Gōhārān dīt ki, 'maī birāt bast, kušīt-ē'; gōhār ātkant tačānā, paryāt-iš kut ki, 'maī birātā ma-kuš, tau halāṣ-ē bikan! Tau har čī lōṭē, mā tarā dain'. Bahrāmā bōtkant har sai birāt, ō har sai birātān salām kut. Bahrāmārā gušt-ē, 'Ō juwān, tau biyā kalātā, ki mārā sai gōhār hast, har čī ki tarā* dōst bīt, tau yakē bigir'. Bahrāmā gušt ki, 'man-a a na-girān šumaī gōhārān, man par Gulandāmā 'āšik-ān, Gulandāmī nēmā man rawān'.10
- 12. Ē balāhā gušt, 'Gulandām dīr-in'. Bahrāmā gušt, 'takrā ¹¹ rā rawān'. Balāhā ač watī mīdān dō-sai gōtak dāt, gušt-ē, 'barō, waḥdē* ¹² ki tarā sakīē bigipt, ē* mīdī ṭālā ¹³ tau par āsā bikan, ki mā rasitagin*'. Bahrāmā gušt, 'šarr-in'.
- 13. Bahrām suwār bīt. Sar gipt, balāhān gušt, 'par ē rāhān ma-rō, ki balāhē hast. Digar rāhē astin, tau pa hamā rāhā barō'. Bahrāmā gušt, 'man par hamē balāhā gindagā rawān'. ¹⁴
- 14. Bahrām šut, ō ē saiēn balāh amrāh bītant gōn. Balāhā gušt, 'tau bičār, ki yak dītē pād ¹⁵ kait, ē hamēn balāhī gīn-in'. Bahrāmā gušt, 'šarr-in. Man-ē bigindān ōdā'. Ki šutant nazīkā, Bahrāmā gušt, 'šumā ē kōhā dīr kanīt, ki man-ē balāhī gār dapī rawān; kōhā šumā dīr kanīt!' Ē saiēn birātān zōr
 - ¹ G ēn balā ātk; see App.
- ² cf. Bašk. *kalak* 'chin⁵, Pierce (op. cit., 72) 'cheek'; Yd. *k^ycliko* 'jaw' (G. Morgenstierne, *IIFL*, II, 221). For *gōtak* cf. Av. *gaona* 'hair' and **tak* 'strand' (Bašk. *tak*).
 - 3 This and preceding word omitted by G.
- ⁴ The words in square brackets do not occur in G's MS. He supplied them, correctly, except for the addition of an extra zahr gipt after bast, by analogy with the following occurrence in (11).
- ⁵ G has '...'; BM MS $\hbar h$. If this spelling represents $\hbar a$ or $\hbar a$ (cf. § 2 (d)) the word may go back to OIr. $\hbar a$ 'belt'.
- ⁶ Brah. bad kanning 'to take on one's back'; badī kanag, lit. 'make loaded'; cf. also in the Marw texts badd kanag (Zarubin, op. cit., 11, 23, l. 14, 77, l. 16).
 - $^7~\mathrm{G}~g\bar{o}tak~\bar{e}~bal\bar{a}h\bar{e}~\mathrm{as}~\mathrm{BM}~\mathrm{MS}~\mathrm{has}.$
 - 8 G čak-kut; ef. NP (diet.) čaqīdan?
 - 9 See introd., § 4 (b) xiii.
 - 10 G nēmā rōān, with man omitted.
 - 11 Lit. '(right) to the bottom', cf. NP taq?
 - ¹² Initial w- by mistake not written, possibly because the previous word ends in -w.
 - 13 cf. Brah. tāl 'hair-strand'.
 - ¹⁴ Is a- prefix absorbed in previous final $-\bar{a}$?
 - 15 Word omitted by G.